WHO'S WHO IN THE NEW WHO CLASSIFICATION OF UROLOGIC CANCER?

Mahul B. Amin

Professor and Chairman, Gerwin Endowed Professor for Cancer Research Department of Pathology & Lab Medicine Professor, Department of Urology University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN mamain5@uthsc.edu

The slides and syllabus are provided here exclusively for educational purposes and cannot be reproduced or used without the permission from Dr Mahul B. Amin

mamin5@uthsc.edu





| Including Introduction/ |
|---------------------------|
| Classification chapters : |
| - Prostate |
| Kida au |

Concepts in the Blue book

PROSTATE CANCER

What is new in the WHO 2016:

• Topic 1: Grading of prostate tumors

WHO/ISUP 2014 MAJOR RECOMMENDATION

 Report percent pattern 4 Gleason score 7 in both needle biopsies and radical prostatectomies.



All glomeruloid glands should be graded as Gleason pattern 4 regardless of morphology



GLEASON GRADING OF VARIANTS OF PROSTATE CANCER

- Ductal Ca. Gleason 4 or 5 (if necrosis)
- Signet ring cell Ca. Gleason 4 or 5
- Small cell Ca. do not grade
- Sarcomatoid Ca. do not grade

GLEASON GRADING OF VARIANTS OF PROSTATE CANCER

 Mucinous carcinoma behaves more indolently than previously believed – recommendation: subtract the mucin and grade the tumor – not all mucinous carcinomas are Gleason pattern 4

NEW



PIN-like carcinoma is a Gleason pattern 3

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The 2014 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) Consensus Conference on Gleason Grading of Prostatic Carcinoma

Definition of Grading Patterns and Proposal for a New Grading System

Jonathan I, Epstein, MD,* Lars Egevad, MD, PhD,† Mahul B. Amin, MD,‡ Brett Delahunt, MD,§ John R. Srigley, MD, Peter A. Humphrey, MD,



Contemporary Gleason Grading of Prostatic Carcinoma

An Update With Discussion on Practical Issues to Implement the 2014 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) Consensus Conference on Gleason Grading of Prostatic Carcinoma

> Jonathan I. Epstein, MD,* Mahul B. Amin, MD,† Victor E. Reuter, MD,‡ and Peter A. Humphrey, MD, PhD§

Issues pertaining to implementation in clinical practice

- reporting of cancer per specimen/cores etc.
- reporting of different foci in RP

Am J Surg Pathol 2017, E Pub ahead of print.

Reporting of Gleason score Prognostic Grade Groups

- Gleason score ≤ 6:
- Gleason score 3 + 4 = 7
- Gleason score 4 + 3 = 7
- **Gleason score 8**

 - Gleason score 9-10

Grade Group IV Grade Group V

Grade Group I

Grade Group II Grade Group III

Gleason scores can be grouped and range from Grade Group I (most favorable) to Grade Group V (least favorable).

INCORPORTATION OF PROGNOSTIC GROUPS ENDORSED BY THE ISUP (2015) & WHO (2016)

Implications of Reporting of Gleason score Prognostic Grade Groups

Group 1: lowest grade, possible candidates for active surveillance; 20% cases may have higher unsampled grade; makes distinction between Gleason 2+2, 2+3, 3+3 irrelevant

Group 2: Good prognosis, rare metastasis

Group 3: Worst prognosis than Group 2

Group 4: Not nearly considered high-grade, has significantly better prognosis than Group 5

Group 5: Worst prognosis, obviates need to distinguish 4+5, 5+4, 5+5











HG-PIN











Intraductal Carcinoma of the Prostate

- Late event in P Ca evolution, with intraductal spread of aggressive P Ca and cancerization of preexisting ducts and acini by high-grade P Ca.
 In a minority of cases, may be precursor
- In a minority of cases, may be precursor lesion because in approximately 10% of RP cases following a NBx dx of IDC, IDC in the whole prostate gland is found in pure form, without associated invasive carcinoma



Intraductal Carcinoma of the Prostate

Criteria

- Marked expansile growth of atypical cells
 Large cribriform/solid architecture

 - occasionally spans the width of the core
- Lesion within native prostate glands

 - Basal cell layer at least partially preserved
 Complete or partial involvement of involved glands
- Prominent cytologic atypia, mitoses, comedonecrosis may be present

















What is new in the WHO 2016:

• Topic 3: Classification of neuroendocrine differentiation in prostate





AR++ PSA++ REST++ MYC Amplif -/+ TMPRSS2- ERG -/+ PTEN Loss -/+

AR -/-PSA-/+ REST low MYC Amplif -/+ TMPRSS2- ERG -/+ PTEN Loss -/+ fanti-apoptotic factors & neuronal genes

PCA with NED

SMALL CELL CA

AR -PSA -REST -MYC Amplif -/+ AURKA Amplif -/+ Rb Loss -/+ †neuronal genes

CLASSIFICATION OF TUMORS ALONG

Proposed Morphologic Classification of Prostate Cancer with Neuroendocrine Differentiation

Epstein*, Amin*, Beltran, Lotan Mosquera, Reuter, Robinson, Troncoso, Rubin *co-first authors





Accelerating the world's most promising research

Proposed Morphologic Classification of Prostate Cancer with Neuroendocrine Differentiation

Epstein , Amin, Beltran, Lotan Mosquera, Reuter, Robinson, Troncoso, Rubin

Am J Surg Pathol (2014)

PCF 2013 Classification for PCa with Neuroendocrine Differentiation

- Usual PCa with Neuroendocrine (NE) Differentiation
- PCa with Paneth Cell NE Differentiation
- Carcinoid Tumor
- Small Cell NE Carcinoma
- Large Cell NE Carcinoma (LCNEC)
- Mixed (Small or Large Cell) NE Carcinoma Acinar Adenocarcinoma
- PCa with overlap features of small cell and acinar adenocarcinoma – Provisional Category
- Castration resistant PCa with small cell carcinomalike clinical features – Clinical Category

Usual PCa with NE Differentiation

 Definition: Morphologically typical, usual acinar or ductal adenocarcinoma of the prostate in which NE differentiation is demonstrated by immunohistochemistry alone





Carcinoid Tumor - WDNET

- Definition: A well differentiated NE tumor occurring primarily in the prostate gland, showing the classic morphology of carcinoid tumor at other sites such as the lung, but which is not closely associated with usual prostate carcinoma or which does not arise from the urethra or extend from the bladder
- In younger patients, screening for stigmata of MEN may be considered





Large Cell NE Carcinoma

• Definition: High grade tumor with

- NE architecture (organoid nests, palisading, rosettes, trabeculae, sheets)
- Non-small cell NE carcinoma cytology (prominent nucleoli, vesicular clumpy chromatin and/or large cell size and abundant cytoplasm)
- Expression of at least one neuroendocrine marker (excluding neuron specific enolase)





The slides and syllabus are provided here exclusively for educational purposes and cannot be reproduced or used without the permission from Dr Mahul B. Amin

mamin5@uthsc.edu

KIDNEY CANCER

What is new in the WHO 2016:

• Topic 4: Classification of renal tumors

Will be covered tomorrow

What is new in the WHO 2016:

• Topic 5: Grading of renal tumors

GRADING OF RCC (2016)

- WHO/ISUP SYSTEM modified from Fuhrman system
- To factor in necrosis for clear cell RCC
- Recommended to be used in all types of RCC though not validated beyond clear cell RCC



WHO/ISUP grade 1





Nucleoli are inconspicuous or absent at low and high power



WHO/ISUP grade 3



Grade 3: nucleoli are prominent and are easily visualized at low-power magnification

WHO/ISUP grade 4



Grade 4: presence of tumor giant cells and/or marked nuclear pleomorphism; sarcomatoid carcinoma; carcinoma showing rhabdoid differentiation







The slides and syllabus are provided here exclusively for educational purposes and cannot be reproduced or used without the permission from Dr Mahul B. Amin

mamin5@uthsc.edu

NEW IN BLADDER: WHO 2016

VI. Flat lesions -

- Atypia urothelial proliferation of unknown signficance

VII. Classification of variants – large nested, signet ring/plasmacytoid, chordoid

VIII. Urachal carcinoma including low grade cystic tumors

IX. Emerging Molecular subtypes

CLASSIFICATION OF BLADDER EPITHELIAL TUMORS

FLAT LESIONS

PAPILLARY LESIONS

INVERTED LESIONS

INVASIVE LESIONS

THE WHO (2016) / ISUP CLASSIFICATION OF UROTHELIAL (TRANSITIONAL CELL) NEOPLASMS OF THE URINARY BLADDER

- Normal
- Urothelial proliferation of uncertain malignant potential
- Flat lesions with atypia
 - Dysplasia
 - •CIS (high-grade intraurothelial neoplasia)











Update for the practicing pathologist: The International Consultation On Urologic Disease-European association of urology consultation on bladder cancer

Mahul B Amin^{1,27}, Steven C Smith^{1,27,28}, Victor E Reuter², Jonathan I Epstein³, David J Grignon⁴, Donna E Hansel⁵, Oscar Lin², Jesse K McKenney⁶, Rodolfo Montironi⁷, Gladell P Pane⁸, Hikmat A Al-Ahmadie², Ferran Algaba⁹, Syed Ali³, Isabel Alvarado-Cabrero¹⁰, Lukas Bubendorf¹¹, Liang Cheng³, John C Cheville¹², Glen Kristiansen¹³, Richard J Cote¹⁴, Brett Delahunf¹⁵, John N Eble⁴, Elizabeth M Genega¹⁶, Christian Gulmann¹⁷, Arndt Hartmann¹⁸, Cord Langere¹⁹, Antonio Lopez-Beltran²⁰, Cristian Magi-Galluzzi⁶, Jorda Merce¹⁴, George J Netto³, Esther Oliva¹, Priya Rao²², Jae Y Ro²³, John R Srigley²⁴, Satish K Tickoo², Toyonori Tsuzuki²⁵, Saleem A Umar¹⁴, Theo Van der Kwast²⁶, Robert H Young²¹ and Mark S Soloway¹⁴

Modern Pathology:2014







CLASSIFICATION OF BLADDER LESIONS WITH INVERTED GROWTH PATTERN

- Inverted papilloma
- Inverted urothelial neoplasm of LMP
- Inverted urothelial carcinoma, low grade, non-invasive
- Inverted urothelial carcinoma, high grade, non-invasive
- Inverted urothelial carcinoma, high grade, invasive





| CLASSIFICATION | OF INVASIV | /E BLADDER | CA |
|----------------|------------|------------|----|

d)

| • | Urothelial carcinoma | Variants of urothelial |
|---|------------------------------|---|
| ٠ | Squamous cell Ca | - nested (incl. large neste |
| | - conventional | - microcystic |
| | - verrucous | - micropapillary |
| | - basaloid | - lymphoepithelioma-like |
| ٠ | Adenocarcinoma | - sarcomatoid |
| | - mucosal based - urachal | diffuse/plasmacytoid signet ring cell |
| N | louroondocrino carcinom | - giant cell |
| - | Small cell | - lipid rich |
| - | Large cell | - clear cell |
| - | Well differentiated tumor | - undifferentiated |

- Paraganglioma
- -

CLASSIFICATION OF INVASIVE BLADDER CA

Will be covered tomorrow

PRIMARY ADENOCARCINOMA OF THE BLADDER

Anatomic:

Urachal

Rule out:

Urothelial Ca

features

Metastasis

with glandular

- Histology:
- Bladder mucosa
- Adenocarcinoma NOS
- Enteric
- Mucinous
- Signet ring
- Clear cell
- Hepatoid
- Combined (from above)











URACHAL CARCINOMA

Clinicopathologic diagnosis

Criteria:

- Dome or anterior location
- Absence of cystitis glandularis or intestinal metaplasia
- Absence of primary elsewhere
- Epicenter of mass in bladder wall





Glandular Neoplasms of the Urachus A Report of 55 Cases Emphasizing Mucinous Cystic Tumors With Proposed Classification

Mahul B. Amin, MD,* Steven C. Smith, MD, PhD,* John N, Eble, MD,† Priya Rao, MD,* William W. L. Choi, MD,\$\$ Pheroze Tamboli, MD,|| and Robert H. Young, MD.¶



Am J Surg Pathol: 2014

Non-invasive and low grade mucinous cystic tumors of urachus



Cystic urachal tumor

Urachal mucinous cystadenoma

Glandular Neoplasms of the Urachus A Report of 55 Cases Emphasizing Mucinous Cystic Tumors With Proposed Classification

Mahul B. Amin, MD,^{*} Steven C. Smith, MD, PhD,^{*} John N. Eble, MD,[†] Priya Rao, MD,^{*} William W. L. Choi, MD,[‡]S Pheroze Tamboli, MD,∥ and Robert H. Young, MD,[§]

- □ <u>31 Mucinous cystic tumors</u> (from 4 institutions & consult cases)
 - 4 cystadenoma
 - 22 low malignant potential (LMP)
 - 2 intraepithelial carcinoma
 - 8 invasive cystadenocarcinoma
 - 4 microinvasive carcinoma
 - 1 frankly invasive carcinoma
- 24 Invasive noncystic adenocarcinomas
 - 8 mucinous (colloid)
 - 6 enteric, 6 mucinous/enteric, 2 NOS

- Am J Surg Pathol 2014;38:1033









Survival of noninvasive mucinous cystic tumors of urachus



- Amin et al, Am J Surg Pathol 2014













The slides and syllabus are provided here exclusively for educational purposes and cannot be reproduced or used without the permission from Dr Mahul B. Amin

mamin5@uthsc.edu

TESTIS CANCER

What is new in the WHO 2016:

- Topic 10: Precursor lesion nomenclature for germ cell tumor of the testis
- Topic 11: Revised Classification for germ cell tumors

GERM CELL TUMORS

ITGCN = GCNIS (Germ Cell Neoplasia in Situ) •ASSOCIATED WITH GCNIS •NOT ASSOCIATED WITH GCNIS

ASSOCIATED WITH GCNIS

- Similar epidemiologic associations

 Arise from maturation delayed germ cells arising in a damaged testicular milleu: impaired spermatogenesis, tubular shrinkage, peritubular sclerosis, immature Sertoli cells, interstitial widening, hyalinized tubules, and microlithiasis

- Isochromosome 12 p



WHO 2015 CLASSIFICATION OF GERM CELL TUMORS OF TESTIS

•ASSOCIATED WITH GCNIS

- Classic seminoma
- Pure or Mixed (non-seminomatous) tumor
 - Embryonal carcinoma
 - Yolk sac tumor
 - Choriocarcinoma & other trophoblastic tumors
 - -Teratoma, post-pubertal type
 - -Mixed combinations of above incl. Seminoma

REGRESSED GERM CELL TUMOR (Germ cell tumor of unknown type)

- Scar band like or stellate
- Cysts Calcification















Intratubular germ cell neoplasia, unclassified



LESSONS FROM REGRESSION : when to look for signs of regression

- Patient presents with widespread metastatic choriocarcioma
- Patient presents with retroperitoneal GCT
- Patient with unusual tumor in retroperitoneum – Wilms', PNET
- Orchiectomy in a young patient for pain or non specific symptoms and scar in first few sections
- Patient with germ cell tumor in spermatic cord but none in testis
- Histology of the primary and mets does not match







TROPHOBLASTIC TUMORS OF TESTIS

- Choriocarcinoma (including monophasic CC)
- Placental site trophoblastic tumor (HPL+. p63 -)
- Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor (HPL -. p63 +)*
- Cystic trophoblastic tumor*
- * Frequently at metastatic sites

WHO 2016 CLASSIFICATION OF GERM CELL TUMORS OF TESTIS

NOT ASSOCIATED WITH GCNIS

- -Spermatocytic tumor
- Yolk sac tumor, prepubertal type
- Teratoma, prepubertal type (all age groups)
 - Epidermoid and Dermoid cyst
 - Well differentiated neuroendocrine tumor (monodermal teratoma)
- Mixed teratoma and Yolk sac tumor, prepubertal type

SPERMATOCYTIC TUMOR (2016 WHO NOMENCLATURE)

Unique

- Older age group (average age 52 yrs; 19-92 range)
- Not associated with GCNIS/ITGCN
- Not associated with cryptorchidism
- Not associated with 12p abnormalities gains of Chr 9 and1:FGFR3 & HRAS mutations or gene amplifications
- · Not associated with other germ cell components
- No ovarian counterpart or extragonadal location
- Clinically benign, rare metastasis. Death if associated with sarcomatous transformation

SPERMATOCYTIC TUMOR







PEDIATRIC YST

- Unlike Adult YST
 No racial or geographic predilection
- Stable incidence
- not associated with GCNIS/ITGCN
- Always pure
- 16-20 months age
- Low incidence of advanced and metastasis Responds to chemotherap
- Survival approaches 100%



TERATOMA

"Prepubertal"

- Teratoma in prepubertal age Teratoma without ITGCN/GCNIS
- Teratoma in postpubertal age Teratoma without ITGCN/GCNIS

"Postpubertal"

Teratoma in postpubertal age with ITGCN/GCNIS

PEDIATRIC TERATOMA

- No association with GCINIS, dysgenetic gonadal changes, scarring or i12p Tumors with this histology occur in post-puberta age "benign prepubertal teratoma"- designation encompasses all ages

Distinct differences from adult teratoma: Calcification, hair follicles frequest; may have other endodermal, mesenchymal or ectodermal components Smooth muscle tends to envelop epithelium Salivary gland, pancreas etc

In postpubertal setting: Lack scar, tubular atrophy, necrosis, microlithiasis – consider 12p study

TERATOMA -POST PUBERTAL TYPE

- Young adults
- 3-7% pure
- Almost 50% of mixed GCT contain teratoma
- Immaturity in epithelial or mesenchymal elements no impact on prognosis – atypia is not graded
- Cytologic atypia with architectural overgrowth – X4 –low power field -"malignant transformation in teratoma"

TERATOMA, "prepubertal type" -EPIDERMOID CYST - BENIGN





Lacks ITGCN









The slides and syllabus are provided here exclusively for educational purposes and cannot be reproduced or used without the permission from Dr Mahul B. Amin

mamin5@uthsc.edu

PENILE CANCER

What is new in the WHO 2016:

 Topic 11: Classification of Intraepithelial lesions

CLASSIFICATION OF PENILE SCC

Non HPV-related

HPV-related

INTRAEPITHELIAL LESIONS

INTRAEPITHELIAL LESIONS

INVASIVE LESIONS

INVASIVE LESIONS

Penile intraepithelial neoplasia (PelN) Historical nomenclature

- Erythroplasia of Queyrat (glans)
- Bowen's disease (shaft)
- Bowenoid papulosis
- Dysplasia (Mild, moderate, and severe)
- Carcinoma in situ
- Squamous intraepithelial lesion (SIL); low and high grade
- Penile intraepithelial neoplasia (PelN 1, 2, 3)

Penile intraepithelial neoplasia (PelN)

HPV-UNRELATED DIFFERENTIATED (Simplex) PelN

HPV-RELATED

UNDIFFERENTIATED PeIN Basaloid Warty Warty/basaloid

| | Differentiated PelN | Undifferentiated PelN |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Age (years) | >60 | 40-50 |
| Location | Foreskin | Glans |
| Color | White/gray | Red |
| Multifocal | Sometimes | Often |
| HPV-related | No | Yes |
| p16 | Negative | Positive |
| LS | Yes | No |
| Associated SCC | Usual Verrucous Sarcomatoid | Warty Basaloid Warty-Basaloid |





















| Penile SCC Bimodal pathway of ca progression | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|--|--|
| 40% Warty Ca (100%) Basaloid Ca (+80%) | | | | |
| | 60% —→ | HPV-unrelated Keratinizing SCC (*65%) Verrucous Ca (*65%) Pseudohyperplastic Ca (100%) | | |

What is new in the WHO 2016:

 Topic 12: Classification & Grading of Squamous cell carcinoma

WHO CLASSIFICATION OF PENILE SQUAMOUS CELL CA

| Non HPV related: SCC: | HPV related |
|--|--|
| -usual -pseudoglandular -Psudohyperplastic | Basaloid -classical -papillary variant |
| Verrucous: | Warty |
| - pure | - classical |
| - cuniculatum | - warty-basaloid |
| | - clear cell |
| Papillary NOS | |
| Adenosquamous | Lymphoepithelioma like |
| Sarcomatoid | |
| Mixed | Other rare |

SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA





PSEUDOHYPERPLASTIC SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA ASSOCIATED WITH

- BXO
 Foreskin mucosal lesions, frequently multicentric
- Background of balanitis xerotica obliterans
- Very well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma with features resembling pseudoepitheliomatous hyperplasia
- Carcinoma pushing invasion beyond lamina propria into dartos or corpus spongiosum
 - Marked asymmetry of pushing edges of neoplasm
 - Nests may show keratinization at base











Carcinoma Cuniculatum of Penis





WARTY (CONDYLOMATOUS) CARCINOMA

- Complex undulating appearance
- Long papillae fibrovascular cores
- Deeper burrowing into lamina propria and corpus spongiosum
- Hyperkeratosis, parakeratosis, HPV changes
- Obvious cytologic atypia (well to moderately differentiated)















WHO/ISUP Grading in penile SCC. Gr I, well differentiated Gr II, moderately differentiated Gr III, poorly differentiated

