2018 Park City AP Update

Appendiceal GCC and LAMN

Navigating the Alphabet Soup in the Appendix

Sanjay Kakar, MD University of California, San Francisco

Appendiceal tumors

Low grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm

- Peritoneal spread, chemotherapy
- But not called 'adenocarcinoma'

Goblet cell carcinoid

- Not a neuroendocrine tumor
- Staged and treated like adenocarcinoma
- But called 'carcinoid'

Outline

- Appendiceal LAMN
- Peritoneal involvement by mucinous neoplasms
- Goblet cell carcinoid

-Terminology

- -Grading and staging
- -Important elements for reporting

LAMN

WHO 2010: Low grade carcinoma

- Low grade
- 'Pushing invasion'

LAMN vs. adenoma

LAMN	Appendiceal adenoma
Low grade cytologic atypia	Low grade cytologic atypia
At minimum, muscularis mucosa is obliterated	Muscularis mucosa is intact
Can extend through the wall	Confined to lumen

Appendiceal adenoma: intact muscularis mucosa



LAMN: Pushing invasion, obliteration of m mucosa



LAMN vs adenocarcinoma		
LAMN	Mucinous adenocarcinoma	
Low grade	High grade	
Pushing invasion -No desmoplasia or destructive invasion	Destructive invasion -Complex growth pattern -Angulated infiltrative glands or single cells -Desmoplasia -Tumor cells floating in mucin	
	WHO 2010 Davison, Mod Pathol 2014	

Davison, Mod Pathol 2014 Carr, AJSP 2016

Complex growth pattern



Complex growth pattern



















Implications of diagnosis				
LAMN Mucinous adenocarcinom				
LN metastasis	Rare	Common		
Hematogenous spread	Rare	Can occur		
Peritoneal metastasis	Common	Common		
Treatment	Follow-up imaging	-Rt hemicolectomy -Systemic chemo if needed		

Grade

- By definition, LAMN is low grade
- Focal or diffuse high grade changes in tumors which architecturally resemble LAMN
 - -No destructive invasion or desmoplasia

High grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm (HAMN)

- HAMN is not part of WHO 2010 classification
- Included: AJCC 8th edition CAP protocol (2018 version)

Carr, AJSP 2016: Peritoneal Surface Oncology Group International (PSOGI)

HAMN: rare tumor

- Architecture like LAMN, no destructive invasion or desmoplasia
- Focal or diffuse high grade cytologic atypia

High grade features: cribriform growth pattern





LAMN: staging

- WHO 2010: Low grade carcinoma
- AJCC and CAP: LAMN should be staged

LAMN: staging challenges

- Erroneous interpretation as mucinous adenocarcinoma
- T category is difficult to apply Depth of cellular or acellular mucin

LAMN: depth of invasion and recurrence

Study	Confined to MP	Acellular mucin beyond MP	Cellular LAMN beyond MP
Umetsu/Kakar 2016	0/21	0/5	4/7
Higa 1973		0/7	4/7
Misdraji 2003	0/27	*	20/31
Pai 2009	0/16	1/14	21/27
Yantiss 2009	-	1/44**	2/10
Total	0/64	2/70 (3%)	51/82 (62%)

LAMN staging: AJCC 8th edition

Category	Change/update
Tis (LAMN)	LAMN extending into muscularis propria, but not beyond it
T1, T2	Not applicable to LAMN
Т3	Cellular LAMN into subserosa ?Acellular mucin into subserosa
T4a	Involvement of serosal surface Cellular LAMN or acellular mucin

LAMN: Acellular mucin on serosal surface





LAMN: Acellular mucin as T4a

- · Based on limited data
- Risk of overtreatment
- Pathology report:

"Acellular mucin on serosal surface has a very low risk of recurrence, and categorization of this finding as T4a is based on limited data."

LAMN

Elements in pathology reporting

- Submit the entire appendix
- Extent of disease: both cellular and acellular mucin (T category)
- Margin assessment
- Absence of high risk features:
- No high grade cytology or complex growth No destructive invasion or desmoplasia

LAMN

- Do not use obsolete terms
- Mucocele
- Mucinous cystadenoma

HAMN

Elements in pathology reporting

- Extent of high grade changes
- Use mucinous adenocarcinoma staging scheme

-Outcome may be similar to mucinous AC?

AJCC, 8th Edition Misdraji, AJSP 2003

Peritoneal involvement

- Terminology
- Grading
- Treatment

Pseudomyxoma peritonei

- Mucinous ascites
- Omental cake
- Mucin accumulation in peritoneum due to involvement by mucinous neoplasm

Peritoneal involvement Pseudomyxoma peritonei

Low grade	High grade
LAMN with peritoneal involvement, or Mucinous adenocarcinoma, low grade with peritoneal involvement	Mucinous adenocarcinoma, high grade with peritoneal involvement
Mucinous carcinoma peritonei, Iow grade	Mucinous carcinoma peritonei, high grade
Disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis (DPAM)	Peritoneal mucinous adenocarcinoma (PMAC)

Peritoneal involvement

Low grade

Mucinous adenocarcinoma, low

Mucinous carcinoma peritonei,

LAMN with peritoneal

grade with peritoneal involvement

Disseminated peritoneal

adenomucinosis (DPAM)

involvement

low grade

Appendix	shows	LAMN
Арренаіх	0110110	

- LAMN with peritoneal involvement Include synonyms in a
- comment

Appendix: no LAMN or not known

 Mucinous carcinoma peritonei, low grade
 Mucinous adenocarcinoma, low grade

Peritoneal involvement

High grade

Primary sitesAppendix

- Mucinous adenocarcinoma, high grade with peritoneal
 - Ovary
 - Pancreas
- Peritoneal mucinous adenocarcinoma (PMAC)

Mucinous carcinoma peritonei,

involvement

high grade

Grading of peritoneal disease

WHO 2010

2-tier scheme -Low grade -High grade

Criteria -Cytologic atypia -Architecture



High grade

-Complex growth -Stratification -Loss of polarity -Prominent nucleoli -Frequent mitoses -Signet ring cells

Grading of peritoneal disease	Grad	ing of	peritoneal	l disease
-------------------------------	------	--------	------------	-----------

O tian ach anna O	
2-tier scheme 3 -Low grade -High grade	B-tier scheme -Well-differentiated (G1) -Moderately differentiated (G2) -Poorly differentiated (G3)
-Cytologic atypia	No defined criteria -Extent of gland formation not applicable to mucinous tumors



Study	# of cases	Grading scheme	5-year survival
Ronnett (2001)	109	DPAM PMCA-I/D PMCA	75% 50% 14%
Smeenk (2007)	103	DPAM PMCA-I PMCA	75% 42% 0%
Guo (2012)	92	DPAM PMCA-I/D PMCA	80% 67% 50%
Shetty (2013)	211	PMP1 PMP2 PMP3	86% 63% 32%
Davison (2014)	151	G1 G2 G3	91% 61% 23%
NCDB database	3105	Well differentiated Moderately differentiated Poorly differentiated	57% 32% 11%

Gestalt grading scheme

- Looks good: G1
- Looks bad: G3
- All others: G2

AJCC 8th edition/CAP (modified Davison scheme)

- G1 -Low grade cytologic atypia (similar to LAMN) -Includes acellular mucin -Cellularity <20%
 -No destructive invasion of implants
 G2 -Mix of low and high grade cytologic atypia -Architectural complexity
 -Destructive invasion of implants
 -Cellularity >20%
 G3 -Signet ring cells infiltrating the stroma
 - -Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma component Davison, Mod Pathol 2014

AJCC 8th edition/CAP (modified Davison scheme)

Grading parameters

- Cytoarchitectural atypia
- Cellularity
- Invasive implants
- Signet ring cells

Davison, Mod Pathol 2014

Invasive implants

- Mucinous tumors on visceral organs like liver, colon etc. not sufficient
- Destructive invasion and desmoplasia









LAMN: Invasive implant





Peritoneum: signet ring cell carcinoma







Signet ring cells in grading

- >10% cutoff has been suggested for G3 designation (not specified in AJCC)
- Disregard cells in mucin resembling signet ring cells
- Consider only if infiltrating signet ring cells in stroma

Sirintrapun, Hum Pathol 2014 Davison, Mod Pathol 2014

Challenges in grading

- Invasive implants
- Signet ring cells
- Small or borderline G2
 component
- Discrepant grading in appendix and peritoneum

Challenges in grading

Small or borderline G2 component

- Significance unclear
- Descriptive report stating that there is a minor G2 component

Challenges in grading

Discrepant grade in appendix and peritoneum

- Uncommon
- Higher grade peritoneal disease generally drives prognosis

AJCC 8th: M categories

Category	Definition	
M1a	Acellular mucin with disseminated peritoneal involvement	
M1b	Peritoneal mucinous depositis containing tumor cells	
M1c	Metastasis to sites other than peritoneum	
Stage	Definition	
IVa	Any T or N, M1a (acellular mucin) Any T or N, M1b (G1)	
IVb	Any T or N, M1b (G2, G3)	
IVc	Any T or N, M1c (Any G)	

Grade: impact on treatment

Stage IVa M1a: acellular mucin M1b : G1 tumors	Stage IVb M1b: G2, G3 tumors
Combined peritoneal surgery (tumor debulking) with HIPEC (hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy)	Role of surgery and HIPEC controversial
Systemic chemotherapy not useful	Systemic chemotherapy

HIPEC: Hot chemotherapy leads to hot debate

Debate at ASCO meeting

- 'Heating drugs makes them more effective'
- 'Precious little data that heated chemotherapy does anything'

LAMN Tis with peritoneal disease

- LAMN confined to muscularis propria (Tis) but with peritoneal disease
- TisN0M1: does not make sense
- Explanations:
 - Not entirely submitted Defect has 'sealed'
- Suggestion: pTxN0M1

Peritoneal involvement: summary

- Use appropriate terminology
- Include synonymous terms in report
- Use 3-tier grading scheme (AJCC 8th edition)
- Uncommon situations
 - Grade discrepancy: appendix and peritoneum Minor component of higher grade

Goblet cell carcinoid

- Terminology
- Grading and staging
- Important elements for reporting

Terminology

- Pure GCC
- GCC with adenocarcinoma
- GCC with well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumor

Goblet cell carcinoid

- Primarily in appendix
- Rare reports: colon, ampulla
- **Unique features**
- Recapitulates the crypts (crypt cell adenocarcinoma)
- Dual features

Exocrine: goblet cells, mucin Endocrine: NET-like areas, IHC, EM

Pure goblet cell carcinoid



Pure goblet cell carcinoid



- Crypt-like clusters of 'goblet cells'
- No large irregular clusters or sheets
- Cytologic atypia mild
- Mitoses rare
- No desmoplasia or destructive invasion

GCC: single filing in muscularis propria





GCC: perineural and vascular invasion





GCC: extracellular mucin pools



GCC with adenocarcinoma

Variety of terms

- Adenocarcinoma ex GCC (Tang scheme)
- Mixed GCC-adenocarcinoma
- Crypt cell adenocarcinoma

GCC with adenocarcinoma

- Type A: Pure GCC
- Adenocarcinoma ex GCC, type B
 - -Loss of cohesive groups
 - -Large irregular clusters
 - -More cytologic atypia
- Adencoarcinoma ex GCC, type C
 - Poorly differentiated
 - -Diffuse dingle cells or sheets of signet ring cells

Tang, AJSP 2008







Terminology

- Goblet cell carcinoid
- Mixed GCC-adenocarcinoma

 Proportion of adenocarcinoma
 <25%, 25-50%, >50%
 Subtype and differentiation

Taggart, Arch Path Lab Med 2013 Wen/Kakar, Hum Pathol 2017

Clinical impact

Pure GCC vs. mixed GCC-AC

- GCC-adenocarcinoma have worse outcome, treatment largely similar
- Rt. hemicolectomy
 GCC limited to submucosa
- Adjuvant chemotherapy especially if LN+ or peritoneal spread
- Possible prophylactic oophrectomy

Mixed GCC-adenocarcinoma

- WHO 2010 recommended term 'mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma' should not be used
- Can be misinterpreted as
 neuroendocrine carcinoma (NEC)
- Platinum-based chemotherapy used in NEC, but not in GCC

Common errors

Incorrect interpretation	Number
NET staging scheme should be used for GCC	41%
Ki-67 necessary for grading	43%
Oncologists interpreted mixed GCC-AC as poorly differentiated NEC	2 cases

Wen/Kakar, Hum Pathol (in press)

Goblet cell carcinoid

- GCC: pattern of spread like an adenocarcinoma
- Genetic changes
 No *KRAS* mutation
 p53, APC mutation rare
 Mutations in chromatin remodeling genes

Wen/Kakar, USCAP 2017

Ki67, typically <20%, not necessary for diagnosis





Terminology

Next WHO (if I were to write it)

- Goblet cell carcinoma (GCC)
- Grading scheme
 - Grade 1: Pure GCC
 - Grade 2: GCC with atypia or areas with well to moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma
 - Grade 3: GCC with signet ring cell carcinoma or poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma









GCC: summary

- Use appropriate terminology
- Comment
 -State that this is not a NET or NEC
 -Include commonly used synonyms
- Do not grade based on mitoses/Ki-67 index
- Staging scheme for adenocarcinoma, not NET
- Do not use the adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma