Fine Needle Aspiration vs. Touch Preparation Cytology: Insights from Selected Case Presentations

Park City Anatomic Pathology Update February 11, 2020

Lesley C. Lomo, MD Associate Professor University of Utah HSC/ Huntsman Cancer Institute



• I have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

# **Objectives**

- To review the factors influencing the increasing trend toward small diagnostic biopsy procurement and diagnosis across selected tumor tissue types.
- To review various types of cytologic preparations from tissue samples, in particular touch preparations, and how they compare to fine needle aspiration biopsy.
- To enhance knowledge of the utility of ROSE in the adequacy assessment and triage of small tissue samples and how FNAB and touch preparation evaluation of core needle biopsies compare in ROSE.
- To appreciate some important insights gained from the use of ROSE illustrated by a series of selected cases.

### Evolution of Pathology Practice from One Academic Cytopathologist's Perspective



• EBUS FNA > Mediastinal biopsies

- of CNB (about 30:70)
- EBUS CNB
- **EUS FNB** •

# Emergence of Targeted Therapies in the Treatment of Solid Malignancies

- HER2+ breast carcinoma: Trastuzumab (1998)
- CML/GIST: Gleevec/imatinib (early 2000's)
- Non-small cell lung carcinoma
  - 2004: discovery of targetable mutations for EGFR
  - 2007, 2010: identification of transforming EML4-ALK fusion gene
- Melanoma and others: Ipilimumab, nivolumab 2010's)
- Foundation One testing: FDA-approved broad companion diagnostic testing for solid tumors

## NSCLC: Targeted Therapies and Immunotherapies



FIGURE 1 | Timeline illustrating the development of targeted therapies and immunotherapies for the treatment of NSCLC over two decades.

#### Dong et al. Frontiers in Pharm 2019

# Changes in Treatment Paradigms of Selected Solid Tumor Types

- Increasing use of neoadjuvant treatment (breast, gynecologic malignancies, sarcoma)
- Management of some tumors similar to chronic diseases (ER+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer; monitoring change in biomarkers for recurrent disease)
- Interrogation of bulky metastatic disease with or without known primary for driver mutations (consideration for off-protocol treatments or clinical trial eligibility?)
- Subpopulation of patients with more than one primary tumor (new biomarkers to test?)

# Impact on Routine Practice of Anatomic Pathology

Ultimate result: Dominance of small tissue biopsies and the need to do more with less but,

- Who should be tested?
- What are the tests?
- What material is required (preanalytic factors such as quantity, quality)?
- Who is procuring the tissue?

# Proceduralists

- Interventional radiologist
- Endocrinologist
- GI endoscopist
- Interventional pulmonologist
- Pathologist (palpable and/or U/Sguided)

# Point of the Spear: Tissue Procurement and the Utility of ROSE

- The "frozen section" of minimally invasive tissue procurement procedures
- Role of ROSE:
  - Ensure adequacy of tissue via real-time feedback to proceduralist (minimize non-diagnostic rate, number of sites biopsied)
  - Provide preliminary diagnosis/differential diagnosis
  - Ensure appropriate disposition of tissue for
    - Diagnostics
    - Prognostics
    - Theranostics

## **ROSE for Image-Guided Tissue Sampling**

- Results of studies vary depending on clinical setting and investigators (proceduralists, pathologists)
- Adequacy: if a sample provides sufficient material for a diagnosis
- Diagnostic yield: rate at which a diagnosis is made
- Accuracy: concordance between cases in which a diagnosis is rendered and a gold standard

#### The Influence of Rapid Onsite Evaluation on the Adequacy Rate of Fine-Needle Aspiration Cytology

#### A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Robert L. Schmidt, MD, PhD, MBA, Benjamin L. Witt, MD, Leslie E. Lopez-Calderon, MD, and Lester J. Layfield, MD

- Reviewed studies across all anatomic locations which included a control arm
- On average ROSE improves adequacy rate by 12%
- Considerable variability across studies noted
- Effect of non-ROSE adequacy rate is critical

## **ROSE for Image-Guided Tissue Sampling**

 Although evidence for utility of ROSE across all anatomic locations is variable, it is generally recommended by:

- Pulmonary Pathology Society

- Papanicolaou Society of Cytopathology
- European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy
- American Thyroid Association
  Management Guidelines (particularly after initial non-diagnostic result)

## Results from the 2019 American Society of Cytopathology survey on rapid on-site evaluation—Part 1: objective practice patterns

- Survey of membership of American Society for Cytopathology
- 20% response rate
- US practitioners compose vast majority
- > 95% of respondents offered ROSE as a clinical service
- EBUS FNA procedure most frequently reported to employ ROSE

**Table 1**Respondent demographics for 2019 American Society of Cytopathology rapid on-site evaluation survey.

Demographic	n (%)
Role of respondent	
Cytopathologist/pathologist	255 (47.1)
Cytotechnologist	261 (48.2)
Cytopathology trainee (fellow or resident)	19 (3.5)
Other	6 (1.1)
Total	541 (100.0)
Practice setting	
Academic medical center	304 (56.2)
Community hospital/private practice	197 (36.4)
Reference/commercial laboratory	27 (5.0)
Veteran's hospital	11 (2.0)
Unknown	2 (0.4)
Total	541 (100.0)
Annual nongynecologic cytology specimen volume	
<1000	55 (10.2)
1000-4999	205 (37.9)
5000-9999	144 (26.6)
10,000-14,999	57 (10.5)
>15,000	75 (13.9)
Unknown	5 (0.9)
Total	541 (100.0)

# Variety of roles, practice settings & volumes represented

Variable	ROSE available	Total	P value	
	Yes	No		
Practice setting				< 0.001
Academic medical center	295 (97.0)	9 (3.0)	304	
Community hospital/private practice	189 (95.9)	8 (4.1)	197	
Reference/commercial laboratory	20 (74.1)	7 (25.9)	27	
Veteran's hospital	11 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	11	
Unknown	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	2	
Total	516 (95.4)	25 (4.6)	541	
Annual nongynecologic cytology specimen volume				0.007
<1000	47 (85.5)	8 (14.5)	55	
1000-4999	198 (96.6)	7 (3.4)	205	
5000-9999	139 (96.5)	5 (3.5)	144	
10,000-14,999	57 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	57	
>15,000	71 (94.7)	4 (5.3)	75	
Unknown	4 (80.0)	1 (20.0)	5	
Total	516 (95.4)	25 (4.6)	541	

and the second second second

Abbreviation: ROSE, rapid on-site evaluation.

Data presented as n (%).

#### Most groups offered ROSE regardless of setting or size

	<1,000	1,000 - 4,999	5,000 - 9,999	10,000 - 14,999	>15,000	Unknown	Grand Total
Academic medical center	1.3%	15.7%	20.3%	8.3%	10.2%	0.4%	304
Community hospital/private practice	8.1%	19.0%	5.7%	1.5%	1.8%	0.2%	197
Reference/commercial laboratory	0.6%	1.3%	0.4%	0.7%	1.8%	0.2%	27
Veteran's hospital	0.2%	1.7%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11
Unknown	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	2
Grand Total	55	205	144	57	75	5	541

#### Vanderlaan et al. J Am Soc Cytopathol 2019

Cytotechnologists with Cytopathologist interpretation	29.9%	38.4%	43.8%	45.5%
Cytotechnologists alone	27.2%	26.0%	25.0%	36.4%
Cytopathologists alone	5.6%	28.2%	12.5%	9.1%
Cytopathology fellow/resident with Cytopathologists interpretation	13.8%	• 0.6%		9.1%
Cytopathology fellow/resident alone	5.6%			
No single dominant modality	17.9%	6.8%	18.8%	
	Academic Medical Center N=268	Community/ Private Practice N=177	Reference/ Commercial lab N=16	Veteran's hospital N=11





High variability in frequency in which ROSE was used per procedure

Procedures	Min	Q1	Median	Mean	Q3	Max	N
EBUS-TBNA	1.0	20.0	70.0	59.1	100.0	100.0	454
EUS-FNA	1.0	15.0	40.0	49.7	90.0	100.0	424
Thyroid FNA	1.0	20.0	50.0	51.9	95.0	100.0	409
CT-guided FNA	1.0	10.0	47.0	52.6	100.0	100.0	385
CT-guided core biopsy +/- touch prep	1.0	5.0	30.0	44.7	95.0	100.0	328

Vanderlaan et al. J Am Soc Cytopathol 2019

### **Traditional Technique: Fine Needle Aspiration**

- Effective in multiple tissue sampling scenarios
- Specific lesion types: infection, lymphoma
- Aspirates are optimal specimens for flow cytometric analysis (Boyd et al. 2015)
- Specific lesion locations historically not requiring tissue biopsy before definitive treatment
  - Thyroid
  - Pancreatic adenocarcinoma
  - Salivary gland lesions
  - Confirmation of recurrence/metastasis

# **Fine Needle Aspiration**

#### **Advantages**

- Rapid to perform and evaluate
- Usually does not require anesthesia
- Can be performed anywhere
- Lower complication rate
- Multiple staining modalities
- Multiple collection methods
- Fresh intact viable cells
- Enhanced assessment of tumor heterogeneity

### Limitations

- Good FNA performance
  requires skill
- U/S guidance for deep-seated lesions
- Good slide preparation requires skill
- Limited tissue architecture
- Can't distinguish between in situ vs invasive disease
- Decreased utility in fibrotic/dense lesions
- Must validate specimens for IHC, molecular tests
- Lower rate of reimbursement

# Utility of Cytology Specimens for Ancillary Molecular Testing



Roh M. Mod Pathol 2019

# Utility of Cytology Specimens for Ancillary Molecular Testing



# Utility of Cytology Specimens for Ancillary Molecular Testing

- Immunohistochemistry, FISH, and molecular diagnostics can be performed on a wide variety of cytologic preparations
- Multiple groups have demonstrated success with system-wide algorithms (Brainard & Farver, Mod Pathol 2019, Aisner et al, 2016)
- Molecular updates: Dr. Deftereos (2/12)

### Paradigm Shift: Core Biopsies Evaluated by Touch Preparations

Pancreatic head mass: FNA 2006





#### Pancreatic mass: CNB 2019







# **Clinical Proceduralist Perspective:**

- Minimization of patient complications = desire for less passes, avoidance of repeat biopsies
- Improvements in fine, flexible biopsy needles compatible with endoscopes (ProCore, SharkCore)
- Requirement for more tissue volume for additional testing
- Ability to exclude benign mimics of invasive carcinoma (IgG4 sclerosing disease, chronic pancreatitis, serous cystadenoma)

# Increase in CNB +/- TP Across Various Anatomic Sites

- EUS Pancreas ProCore (Dwyer et al. 2016, Bang et al. 2016), SharkCore (Witt et al. 2018, Fitzpatrick et al. 2019)
- EBUS TBNA (mediastinal lesions) Jayabalen et al 2014, Xing et al Acta Cytol 2016
- Salivary gland (Witt et al 2014)

# **Core Needle Biopsy**

#### **Advantages**

- Larger intact tissue fragments
  with preserved architecture
- Tissue processing and histology familiar to surgical pathologists
- Tissue is validated for IHC, molecular studies
- Better visualization for fibrotic/dense lesions

### Limitations

- Performed by a clinical interventionalist/team
- More expensive
- Requires anesthesia
- Higher complication rate
- ROSE requires trained cytology personnel
- Longer tissue fixation and processing time
- Potential for less extensive lesional sampling

### ROSE of CNB: Touch Preparations (TP)

- Use varies at different medical centers and among interventionalists:
  - FNA and CNB with TP
  - CNB with TP only
- Generally, accuracy of TP reported to be 80-92%
- Accuracy of FNA+TP reported to be about 95%

# **Touch imprint cytology**

- Smear/squash preparations in neuropathology intraoperative consultation (IOC)
- Imprint cytology for IOC tissue triage
  - Infection
  - Lymphoma
  - Sarcoma
- Cytologic preps from intact tissue samples or resection specimens

# **Other Cytologic Preparations**

- Touch imprint:
  - Usually taken from an incisional biopsy or resection specimen
  - Commonly used during intraoperative frozen section evaluations
- Scrape or squash preparation: smear
- Touch preparation: imprint cytology of small tissue biopsy samples

# **Touch Preparation Techniques**

- 1. Imprint: slide is touched gently to the core
- 2. Drag: minimize distance to avoid tissue loss
- 3. Roll: can use a needle cap to gently roll core on slide
- 4. Touch & pick: core is picked up with needle and touched to slide without dragging







# Comparison of Cytomorphologic Features of FNA vs TP

Cytologic Features	Aspirate Smears	Touch Preparations		
Cellularity	Usually cellular	Variable		
Heterogeneity	High, especially with multiple passes	Limited		
Cohesive cell groups	Present without stroma	Present without stroma		
Discohesive cells	Present, including lymphocytes with LGB	May show artifactual clustering, including lymphocytes +/- LGB		
Spindle cells	Easily appreciated if aspirated	Easily appreciated if present		
Stroma	Not present or scant	Not present or scant		
Background	e.g. ECM or disrupted cytoplasm is easy to smear	Typically present and patchy		
Necrosis	Appears as granular debris aggregating in thick, curly lines	Appears as thick, granular debris		
Blood dilution	Often present	Limited		
Overall architecture	Disrupted	Intact clues to architecture		
Artifacts	Air-drying and crushed cells	Air-drying, thick groups, stripped cells		

LGB = lymphoglandular bodies

Modified from Pantanowitz et al. Atlas of Touch Preparation Cytology. 2019

# **TP** Pitfalls

#### Tissue loss:

 avoid dragging cores > 1 cm along length of slide (Rekhtman et al. 2015)

- FNA material helpful to bolster quantity
- Artifacts
  - Streaking artifact
  - Thick cellular clusters
  - Ultrasound gel contamination

### Factors Impacting Adequacy

- Type and expertise of proceduralist
  - Endocrinologist
  - GI endoscopist
  - Interventional pulmonologist
  - Interventional radiologist
  - Pathologist
- The use of ROSE
- Needle type and size
- Number of passes

Schmidt et al. 2013

- Anatomic site of lesion
- Clinical history (prior malignancy, prior treatment, immunosuppression, radiological ddx, etc)
- Size of lesion
- Physical characteristic or consistency of the lesion
  - Solid and cystic
  - Stroma predominant-ECM
  - Stroma predominant-fibrotic (mesenchymal or epithelial + desmoplastic stroma)

Case Presentations: FNA and TP at ROSE

# Baseline Case (Diagnostic Best Case Scenario)

- 67 year old male with innumerable liver lesions, no known primary
- Later review of OSH imaging indicated circumferential wall thickening & nodularity of proximal rectum concerning for rectal carcinoma
- IR performed U/S-guided FNA and CNB


# Fine needle aspiration





# Touch Preparation















Metastatic Neuroendocrine Tumor, Provisional WHO Grade 3

- Solid non-necrotic tumor
- Ample material on FNA, CB, CNB, TP

#### Case 1a

- 26 year old female with Lyme's disease presenting with cardiac symptoms
- Lung imaging disclosed a 1.6 cm wellcircumscribed left upper lobe lung nodule
- IR performed CT-guided CNB









- AE1/3, SMA, S100, Desmin, HMB45, ALK1 negative
- ALK FISH: positive for rearrangement

- AE1/3, SMA, S100, Desmin, HMB45, ALK1 negative
- ALK FISH: positive for rearrangement
  Inflammatory Myofibroblastic Tumor

### Case 1b

- 58 year old male with a history of p16positive right pharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma
- Staging workup showed a mildly PETavid right neck lymph node
- Radiologist performed U/S-guided FNA followed by CNB

## FNA: hypocellular, rare clusters



# TP: Hypocellular







- S100-positive
- No lymphoid tissue identified
- IR: lesion is only "mildly PET-positive"

- Bland smooth muscle lesion
- S100-positive, ddx: schwannoma
- No lymphoid tissue identified
- IR: lesion is only "mildly PET-positive"

### Case 1c

- 62 year old male with lung carcinoid tumor s/p lobectomy 3 months prior
- Now presenting with an enhancing pelvic bony lesion radiologically c/w metastasis first identified on PET scan
- IR opted to perform CT-guided FNA followed by CNB





## TP of CNB





Intraosseous hibernoma

- Positive for S100
- Negative: CD68, AE1/3







Intraosseous hibernoma: a potential mimic of metastatic carcinoma Shuting Bai, MD, PhD<sup>a,\*</sup>, Carolyn Mies, MD<sup>a</sup>, Jason Stephenson, MD<sup>b</sup>, Paul J. Zhang, MD<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104 <sup>b</sup> Department of Radiology, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

- Hibernomas are rare benign tumors
- Intraosseous location even more uncommon
- Case reports document primarily pelvic or lower extremity bony locations
- Can be radiologically suspicious

## Take Home Messages #1

- Quality of lesion matters: fibrotic lesions (carcinomas with desmoplasia, mesenchymal lesions) may not yield much material on FNA or TP-CNB
- Discussion with IR may guide trajectory of needle and therefore procedure
- Clinical history important but can be misleading (case 1b, 1c)



- 82 year old male presents with recent onset of right lower extremity sciaticatype pain
- Imaging revealed an extensive presacral and retroperitoneal soft tissue mass encasing the abdominal aorta
- Patient had a remote history of a right calf soft tissue sarcoma
- IR performed CT-guided CNB








Negative for multiple keratins, SMA, desmin, S100, melanoma markers



Consistent with Low grade B cell lymphoma Positive: CD20, PAX-5, BCL6 ; Negative: BCL2, CD10, CD30

Re-review of flow cytometry report: Negative; cellular viability could not be assessed due to extensive cellular degeneration

#### Case 2b

- 70 year old with a female with a 6.7 cm right upper lobe mass incidentally found on workup for acute dyspnea.
- She recently underwent an EBUSguided CNB of a right paratracheal mass 1 month prior read out as necrotizing granulomatous inflammation.
- IR performed CT-guided CNB of the lung mass.

















# CD20, second core

# Prior CNB of paratracheal mass



## Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma

- Non-germinal center subtype, doubleexpressor phenotype
- Negative for LSI MYC (FISH)



- 79 year old male presenting with a large posterior mediastinal mass
- No other significant PMH
- IR performed CT-guided CNB













# CD45

D



# **CD**20



#### Take home messages #2

- Clinical history important but can be misleading (case 2a, 2b)
- Lymphomas remain firmly in the ranks of diagnostically challenging lesions
- Challenging subtypes of lymphomas
  - T cell lymphomas
  - Associated sclerosis
  - Tumors that won't flow well (HD, DLBCL)



- 73 year old male with a history of melanoma of the right upper back diagnosed in 2015 s/p radical resection and lymphadenectomy 2016
- Biopsy from outside hospital not reviewed; reported history of metastatic melanoma to LLL lung s/p pembrolizumab 1 year ago
- Now presenting with multiple small noncalcified pulmonary nodules bilaterally
- IR performed CT-guided CNB









Tumoral melanosis; no viable tumor identified Melanoma markers negative

#### Take Home Messages #3

- Abundant necrosis (lesional, but not viable) may be non-diagnostic at ROSE but not necessarily at final sign-out
- Infection is typical consideration but don't forget neoadjuvant chemotherapy or prior ablation therapy

## FNA vs TP/CNB: What is the Verdict?

- The debate remains ongoing and strong proponents for FNA remain (van Zante & Ljung 2016)
- CNB in part driven by external factors such as proceduralist preference and increasing demand for ancillary testing
- Studies are ongoing pertaining to each modality and likely will be impacted by proceduralist, needle type and anatomic location

## FNA vs TP/CNB

- FNA offers distinct advantages of minimal complications, enhanced assessment of tumor heterogeneity and flow cytometric analysis
- CNB provides increased tissue quantity and architectural context
- Ancillary studies can be applied to samples from either modality

### Vital Considerations

- The presentation of patients presenting with clinicoradiologically suspicious lesions is increasing in complexity
- Heightened knowledge of preanalytic factors ensuring integrity of quality and quantity of tissue for diagnostic, prognostic and theranostic purposes is needed
- Awareness of the utility of ROSE and advantages and limitations of FNA and TP can help empower pathologists to advocate for what is needed to ensure success of the biopsy procedure and proper triage of biopsy material

#### Where Are We Headed?

- Updates in lymphoid lesions: Dr. R. Miles
- Molecular diagnostics: Dr. G. Deftereos
- Telecytology