# **GENETICS OF HYDATIDIFORM MOLES**

#### Madhulatha Pantrangi, PhD, FACMG

Assistant Professor of Clinical Pathology and Laboratory Medicine

Associate Director of Cytogenetics Laboratory

→ NewYork-Presbyterian → Weill Cornell Medical Center

E-mail: map9501@med.cornell.edu



AMAZING THINGS ARE HAPPENING HERE

## Outline

≻Hydatidiform moles Classification, morphology, follow up

➤Genetic Origin

➤Screening methods

➤Cases and diagnosis

➤Genomic Imprinting role

## **Classification of GTD**

- GTD encompasses a heterogeneous family of diseases with abnormal proliferation of placental trophoblastic tissue
- □ HM is the most common form of GTD, with an incidence of 1 to 3 out of every 1000 pregnancies.



# Hydatidiform mole (HM)

**CHM** : Rapidly progressing affecting the whole placenta, with widespread and gross trophoblastic hyperplasia in the absence of an embryo and its covering amnion.

**PHM**: A slow change that affects only some of the villi in the placenta.

PH

A	State Co	Characteristic	Complete Mole	Partial Mole
		Karyotype	Diploid; 46,XX (usually), 46,XY	Triploid
		Results on immunostaining of maternally expressed gene products ( <i>p57, PHLDA2</i> )	Negative	Positive
	A MALE PARA	Fetal or embryonic tissue	Absent	Present
		Hydropic swelling of villi	Diffuse	Focal
M-	A CONTRACT	Trophoblastic hyperplasia	Diffuse	Focal
		Scalloping of chorionic villi	Absent	Present
	and the second	Trophoblastic stromal inclusions	Absent	Present
	A	Trophoblast at implantation site	Marked atypia	Mild atypia

# Age distribution of patients with CHM, PHM, and NM



CHMs dominated in patients aged < 21 and >45 years and were the only kind of molar conception found in the latter group.

PMIDs: 33024305; 36936581

## Hydatidiform mole and Follow-up

#### CHM (Diploid and androgenetic origin)

- Risk of GTD 15-20%
- Risk of choriocarcinoma 3-5%

#### PHM (Diandric triploid)

- GTD risk <5%</li>
- Risk of choriocarcinoma is low

#### Non-molar conceptuses (Digynic triploid)

- No risk
- No β-hCG monitoring



ACOG Bulletin : PMID: 15196847 NCCN guidelines: https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2019.0053

## Genetic origins of CHM



- Mitochondrial DNA is maternally derived
- NLRP7 and KHDC3L are required for correct imprinting.
- All imprinted genes known to be specific to the placenta are paternally imprinted

## **Infevers database**



NLRP7 (MALP7/PP/M3/NOD12/PM/7CLR19.4) Editor(s): Rima SLIM

#### Show the Search Form

Total current number of sequence variants for NLRP7 : 275

#### "Name as first published or submitted to Infevers. May be different from the HGVS edited protein and sequence names. ""This classification is proposed by R Fisher, S Rojas, R Silm, I Touitou. If you have new data: please send us a re-evaluation proposal <u>b</u>

Location	HGVS sequence name	HGVS protein name	Usual name*	Classification**	Status	Simple variant Complex alleles	Input date Last update
5 flanking	c13413_2982-344del	p.0	c13413_2982-344del	Pathogenic	VALIDATED	See details	2015-11-15 2020-07-21
5 flanking	c702640+2300del	p.?	c702640+2300del	Likely pathogenic	VALIDATED	See details	2017-11-16 2020-08-27
5 flanking	c683139-1586del	p.0	c683139-1586del	Pathogenic	VALIDATED	See details	2020-08-31 2021-02-16
5 flanking	c3998_2130-668del	p.?	c3998_2130-668del	Pathogenic	VALIDATED	See details	2015-11-15 2021-05-26
5 flanking	c122G>A	p.?	c122G>A	Not classified	To be validated	See details	2012-02-29
intron 1	c40+3G>C	p.0	c40+3G>C	Uncertain significance (VUS)	VALIDATED	See details	2020-08-31 2021-02-16
intron 1	c40+21C>T	p.?	c40+21C>T	Not classified	To be validated	See details	2008-03-07 2012-03-29
intron 1	c40+34T>G	p.?	c40+34T>G	Not classified	To be validated	See details	2012-10-17 2012-10-18
intron 1	c40+36C>T	p.?	c40+36C>T	Not classified	To be validated	See details	2008-03-07 2012-03-29



Phenotype-Gene Relationships

Location	Phenotype	Phenotype MIM number	Inheritance	Phenotype mapping key	Gene/Locus	Gene/Locus MIM number
19q13.42 Hydatidiform mole, recurrent, 1		231090	AR	3	NLRP7	609661
Clinical	Synopsis - Phenotypic	: Series 👻	PheneGene	Graphics 🗸 🚱	)	
INHERI - Auto PRENAT Place	TANCE psomal recessive TAL MANIFESTATIONS mta & Umbilical Cord					
MOLEC	Gestational trophoblastic disease Hydatidiform mole ULAR BASIS	https://	/www.on	nim.org/e	ntry/231(	090
- Cau	sed by mutation in the NLR family p	yrin-domain contai	ning 7 gene (NAI	LP7, 609661.0001)		, class

- NLRP7 codes for a NOD-like receptor pyrin containing protein
- Inflammatory response,
- Trophoblastic tissue differentiation and proliferation,
- Protein is part of the oocyte cortical cytoskeleton
- The role of different NLRP7 variants in reproductive wastage.
- It appears that the LoF variants in NALP7 are more severe than the missense variants.

# **Genetic origins of triploidy**



Diandric/Paternal triploidy (type I) Digynic/Maternal triploidy or type II

# Triploidy

#### Diandric/Paternal triploidy (type I)

- normal intrauterine growth
- Microcephaly /normal-sized head
- Large cystic placenta
- > Hydropic changes in chorionic villi.
- predominate among "typical" spontaneous abortions

#### Digynic/Maternal triploidy or type II

- severe asymmetrical IUGR
- Relative macrocephaly
- Noncystic small placenta
- > No evidence of trophoblastic hyperplasia
- > early or with late embryonic demise involving a well-formed fetus.

#### Diandric (Partial Hydatidiform mole)





The AGT Cytogenetics Laboratory Manual, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition

Common features : Syndactyly of 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> finger; Incomplete skull ossification

## **Genetic origins of Teraploidy**

- tetraploidy
- 92,XXXX/92,XXXY/92,XXYY/92,XYYY
- Frequency of zygotic tetraploidy among conceptus with the molar phenotype seems to be 'less than 1%.
- Most tetraploid cells appear to have developed by somatic endoreduplication of diploid cells,
- Minority originated from tetraploid zygotes.



Modes of fertilization in HMs with tetraploid cells.

# Androgenetic/biparental chimeric & mosaic conception



### Case 1

Clinical information: Missed Abortion

#### Specimen: Products of conception

Contraction of the second		(()		of the second s
(peta) betan				94980 12
13 N	₫ <u>₿</u> € 6	15 <b>3 1 6</b>	C 8 1	सि सि हैं। 18
9 B	3 <u>8</u> 8	21 22 22	A server	Y



FISH (Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization)

Abnormal Female Karyotype - Triploidy (69,XXX)

### Chromosomal Microarray

un boginono un overlap	Map ষ 🖾 Graphs 🎙 📑 Som Mut 🎙 🔠 Query Samples 🎙 🔠 Query Se	$\operatorname{aff}(X, 1-ZZ)X3$	15.3
			15.1 014 013 2.31
			1.22
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Copy Number S	State (segments)		1.21
	State	q11	1.21
.5.		Conv Number	1.22
	· · · • • • • • • • • • • •		1.23
SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: LOH (segments)	)	q2	21.1
			21.2
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio			21.3 22.1
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio 15 1 5 SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Allele Difference		Log2 Ratio	21.3 22.1 22.2 22.3
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio 15 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		Log2 Ratio	21.2 21.3 22.1 22.2 22.3 23.1
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio ):5 1.5 _SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Allele Difference 5 .6 0.5		Log2 Ratio	21.2 22.1 22.2 22.3 23.1 3.31
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio 5 0.5 1.5 5 5 5 5 5 5 _SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Allele Difference 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 	AAA AAB ABB BBB	Log2 Ratio	21.3 22.1 22.2 22.3 23.1 3.33 24.1 24.2
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio .5 95 15 _SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Allele Difference .5 15 9.5 1.5 _SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: SmoothSignal		Log2 Ratio	21.3 22.1 22.2 22.3 23.1 3.31 3.33 24.1 24.2
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		Log2 Ratio	21.2 22.1 22.2 22.3 23.1 3.31 3.33 24.1 24.2
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio 0.5 1 5 _SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Allele Difference 1.5 0.5 1.5 _SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: SmoothSignal 3. SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: BAF		Log2 Ratio	21.3 22.1 22.2 22.3 3.31 3.31 3.33 24.1 24.2 25.1
SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio 015 015 015 015 015 015 015 015		Log2 Ratio	21.3 22.1 22.2 23.1 3.31 3.33 24.1 24.2 25.1 25.1 25.2 25.3
_SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Log2 Ratio 1.5 0.5 1.5 _SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: Allele Difference 1.5 1.5 _SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: SmoothSignal 3. SJ_(CytoScanHD_Array).cyhd.cychp: BAF 1.8 1.4		Log2 Ratio	21.3 22.1 22.2 23.1 3.31 3.33 24.1 24.2 25.1 25.2 25.2 25.3 6.11

AA AB BB

## **T22-XXX**

DIAGNOSIS(ES):

- A. Products of conception, spontaneous/missed: Decidua
- B. Products of conception, spontaneous/missed: Portions of immature placenta

Decidua

#### **INTERPRETATION**

Paraffin embedded slides were received from Pathology containing sections of immature placenta. FISH with chromosome specific probes showed three signals for chromosome 15, 16, 18 and XXY, indicating the presence of triploid cells in ~80% of the 100 cells analyzed. The remaining 20% were XX, normal and most likely represent maternal cells. Triploidy accounts for approximately 11% of abnormal karyotypes observed in miscarriage specimens and typically presents as a partial molar pregnancy when the third haploid genome is paternally derived.

### Microsatellite Marker Genotyping

- Microsatellites, or Short Tandem Repeats (STR) 1-6 bp, usually show high levels of polymorphism.
- Capillary electrophoresis- separate by size and by fluorophore
- Identity, forensics, ancestry, bone marrow engraftment monitoring, maternal cell contamination





The comparison of the fetal and parental STR patterns showed maternal origin of the extra haploid chromosome set (Digynic).

#### Fetus

#### Mother

Father

## Case 2

**CLINICAL INFORMATION**: Missed abortion, Clinical suspicion for a hydatidiform mole has been noted

**SPECIMEN:** Products of conception

#### RESULTS

91,XXXY,-22

#### INTERPRETATION

Conventional karyotyping analysis of this product of conception specimen revealed an extra two sets of chromosomes and a loss of chromosome 22, resulting in tetraploidy karyotype (91,XXXY,-22) in all 20 metaphase cells analyzed. Tetraploidy is incompatible with life and often associated with pregnancy losses. Genetic counseling is recommended.

Of note, triandric tetraploid partial hydatidiform moles are uncommon, and their pathogenesis is unknown (PMIDs: 31669228; 23633551).

## **Tetraploid PHM**

	analog Maralog Maralog Maralog 2			and Starts Starts Starts Starts
				型日日 12 12
88388 88	he ad		S SESS	8 5 2 E
88x8 8	A A &	ଇଁଲିଲିଲି 21		₿,

### **STRP** assay



#### FISH

FISH probe set for the pericentromeric regions of chromosome 15 (D15Z4, FITC) and the heterochromatic region of chromosome 9 (D9Z3, Texas Red)



FISH probe set for the pericentromeric regions of X (DXZ1, Spectrum Green) and Y (DYZ3, Spectrum Orange) Decidua THO1 D21S11 183 186 D19S433 D18S51 208 212 117 125 282 295 Villi 175 x2 113 x2 210 x2 179186 119,25 303 x3 212 204 295

PMID: 22123726

### **Tetraploid PHM**

### Genotype results (chorionic villi only)

monospermic CHM, showing alleles at all 15 loci tested are homozygous.



Egg donor pregnancy misinterpreted as CHM



## **Comparison of Allele Zygosity Patterns in Genotypes of CHM versus Donor Egg Pregnancy**

Diagnosis	No. Heterozygous Loci	No. Homozygous Loci	Heterozygous Loci:Homozygous Loci (%)	Ratio of Heterozygous:Homozygous Loci
Monospermic CHM $(n = 31)$	0	$15 \pm 0$	0:100	0:1
Dispermic CHM $(n = 5)$	$4 \pm 1.6$	$11 \pm 2.2$	27:73	1:3
Donor egg POC $(n = 11)$	$12.3 \pm 1.4$	$2.6 \pm 1.5$	82:18	4:1
<i>P</i> *	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		

•PMID: 25083967

CHM indicates complete hydatidiform mole; POC, products of conception.

\*P-value between dispermic CHM and donor egg nonmolar POC.

# Donor egg POC - How to mitigate the risk of misinterpretation

- Communication from the clinician regarding the use of a donor egg, provide complete clinical information when submitting specimen for pathologic evaluation
- Attention to the allele zygosity ratio in the evaluation of genotype results
- □Secondary confirmation of a genotype-based diagnosis of dispermic CHM by p57 immunohistochemistry and correlation with histologic findings

# Mechanism of p57 expression in HM





Villous stroma (**VS**) Villous Cytotrophoblast (**VC**)

# Immunostaining of p57 in different types of conceptions



p57 IHC, STR genotyping, and FISH to analyze specimens and correlates the findings with morphology and risk of PGTD

## **Genomic Imprinting**



#### DNA methylation reprogramming during human development.

Factors and events involved in each stage, 5-methylcytosine level and approximate timing of imprint erasure, establishment and pre-implantation and postimplantation maintenance are indicated.

PMID: 30647469

# Genomic imprinting role in the malignant potential of CHMs

- Genomic imprinting seems to have an important relationship to the characteristic pathological features shared by CHM and PHM, namely, trophoblastic proliferation and abnormal or absent embryonic development.
- AnCHMs fail to express imprinted genes. Dysregulation of the normal methylation patterns of imprinted genes likely to play a role in molar development.
- Diploid biparental CHMs display the same aberrant patterns of expression and methylation status for imprinted genes as AnCHMs and seem to carry the same risk of PGTD.
- This indicates that it is not the double dosage of a recessive mutation in the paternal genome but unbalanced expression of imprinted genes that predisposes to malignant transformation.
- The inherent growth-promoting role of paternal genes, in the absence of growth-inhibiting maternal genes, may contribute to the malignant potential of CHMs



Algorithmic approach in the diagnostic workup of hydatidiform moles

## Summary

□ Identification of molar pregnancy is critical for appropriate management

Morphological assessment of HM continues to be negatively impacted by interobserver diagnostic variability

□ HMs should require integration of ancillary techniques, particularly p57 immunohistochemistry and STR genotyping

Goal is to provide refined diagnosis, accurate assessment of the risk of persistent GTD

# Acknowledgements

- Hannah Rennert, PhD
- Wing Kay Fok, MD, MS
- Vimla Aggarwal, MBBS, FACMG

# THANK YOU